3

4

5

7

8

9 10

> 11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

> 25 26

The Honorable

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WAS IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE

BAILEY O. STEBBINS, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BIGHORN FIVE GUYS ACQUISITIONS, LLC, a foreign limited liability company,

Defendant.

No. 23-2-06950-7

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE

(CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED)

THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. The Court has considered all papers and materials submitted by the parties in support of the proposed Settlement Agreement, including Plaintiff's preliminary and final memoranda in support of approval of the Settlement Agreement, and the Declarations of James B. Pizl and Chantal Soto-Najera on half of CPT Group Inc. in support of the proposed settlement. As used herein, all terms defined in the Settlement Agreement shall have the same meaning here. Having considered these materials and statements at the Final Approval Hearing, the Court, being fully advised, has determined that the proposed Settlement Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable. In making this determination, the Court has considered the likelihood of success of both Plaintiffs' claims and Defendant's defenses. The Court has also considered the status and extent of the Parties' investigation, research, discovery, and negotiations with respect

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

to Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. Finally, the Court finds that all settlement negotiations were conducted in good faith and at arms' length and that there was no collusion. Good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. The Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement which incorporates language (1) Certifying the Settlement Class; (2) Authorizing Notice; and (3) Setting Final Fairness Hearing, dated January 12, 2024 ("Preliminary Order") is hereby incorporated herein as though fully set forth in this Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Dismissing Action with Prejudice ("Final Judgment"). This Final Order and Judgment adopts and incorporates the Settlement Agreement, the terms defined therein, and all exhibits thereto.
- 2. The Court held a Final Approval Hearing on April 19, 2024 that was attended by Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel. No Settlement Class Members or their parents, guardians, or representatives chose to attend the Final Approval Hearing after being provided with adequate notice, and the Court determines that their presence was not required.
- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, the Parties, and the members of the Settlement Class previously certified by the Court. No Settlement Class Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, and thus all are bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Judgment.
- 4. The Court hereby approves the Settlement Agreement, determines that it complies with all requirements of Washington and federal law, and finds that it is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class Members.
- The Court finds that the Settlement Class Notice ("Notice"), which consisted of an 5. individual notice by first-class mail to the last-known address of each Settlement Class Member (or their parent or guardian), provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of these proceedings and of the matters set forth therein,

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

15

20

21

18

24

25

including the pendency of the action, the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the procedure for submitting objections to and requesting exclusion from the Settlement Agreement, and the right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, to all persons entitled to such notice. The Declaration of Chantal Soto-Najera confirms that the Notice was mailed in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Preliminary Order. The Court finds and concludes that said Notice fully satisfied the requirements of CR 23(c)(2) and CR 23(e) and the requirements of due process.

- 6. The Court finds that the motions and supporting documents filed by Settlement Class Counsel in support of preliminary and final approval of the settlement adequately addresses the applicable requirements of SPR 98.16W(e) with respect to minors who are members of the Settlement Class.
- 7. No objections to the Settlement Agreement have been communicated to the Settlement Administrator, Settlement Class Counsel or filed with the Court, and none were raised at the Final Approval Hearing. Settlement Class Members who failed to present objections to the Settlement Agreement are hereby deemed to have waived any such objections and are forever foreclosed from making any objections to the Settlement or appealing this Final Judgment.
- 8. Consistent with the Settlement Agreement, neither this Final Judgment, nor the fact or substance of the Settlement Agreement, shall be considered a concession or admission by or against the Released Parties of any wrongdoing or legal liability.
- 9. The Court finds that Plaintiff and Settlement Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement.
- 10. The Court finds that Settlement Class Counsel's request for an award of attorney's fees and costs is fair and reasonable, and hereby approves Settlement Class Counsel's request for a fees' award in the amount of \$90,000 plus litigation costs of \$4,256.96, which sums shall be paid out of the Maximum Settlement Amount as provided by the Settlement Agreement. This payment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

is in full and final payment of any claim for fees and costs incurred by counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class in this case.

- 11. The Court approves payment of a Service Award in the amount of \$7,500 to Bailey Stebbins from the Maximum Settlement Amount in recognition of his role in this case and service to the Settlement Class.
- The Court further approves payment in the amount of \$7,500 to Bailey O. Stebbins 12. from the Maximum Settlement Amount in exchange for a full release of all claims against Defendant, known and unknown.
- 13. The Court further approves payment in the amount of up to \$14,000 to CPT Group from the Maximum Settlement Amount for its services provided in the administration of the Settlement.
- 14. The Parties and the Settlement Administrator are hereby directed to proceed with the settlement payment and administration procedures specified under the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Court specifically orders the Parties to follow the disbursement method for minors as set out in the Settlement Agreement, which is to pay minors in the same manner as all other Settlement Class Members for consistency and fairness, and in recognition of the fact that the payments resolve disputes over wages and state law authorizes the minors to earn and be paid directly for their wages. The Court finds that this disbursement method complies with SPR 98.16W under the circumstances of this case.
- 15. The Parties are hereby authorized, without further approval from the Court, to mutually agree to and adopt such amendments, modifications and expansions of the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto as (i) are consistent in all material respects with this Final Judgment, (ii) are effected consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and (iii) do not limit the rights of the Settlement Class Members.
- 16. The Court hereby dismisses this action and any and all Released Claims against the Released Parties (as defined in sections VI.1(a) and VI.I(s) of the Settlement Agreement) with

2

3

4

11

16

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND

James B. Pizl, WSBA #28969

Justin Abbasi, WSBA #53582

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Ari Robbins Greene, WSBA #54201

DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE - 5

prejudice as to Bailey O. Stebbins and all Settlement Class Members, and without costs or attorneys' fees to any party except as provided under the terms of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Judgment.

- 17. All Settlement Class Members and Plaintiff Bailey O. Stebbins are hereby barred and permanently enjoined from maintaining, prosecuting, commencing, or pursuing any of the Released Claims as set forth in sections VI.1(s) and VI.2 of the Settlement Agreement, respectively, against any of the Released Parties as set forth in section VI.1(a), in any lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other individual, class, or collective proceeding, and Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members shall be conclusively deemed to have released and discharged the Released Parties from any and all such claims.
- 18. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment for purposes of appeal, the Court reserves jurisdiction over the Parties as to all matters relating to the administration, consummation, enforcement and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and the Final Judgment, and for any other necessary purposes.
- 19. This case, including all individual and class claims presented thereby, is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

IT IS SO (ORDERED this 19th Day of	April 2024	
11 15 50 (FILED	April, 2024	
	DEPT. 4 IN OPEN COURT	Druger Central	
	APR 19 2024	Honorable Bryan Chushcoff Judge, Pierce County Superior Court	•
Presented By:	PIERCE COUNTY, Clerk	Copy Received, Approved as to For Notice of Presentation Waived	m;
ENTENTE LAW	PLD DEPUTY	LITTLER MENDELSON P.C.	
/s/ James R. Pizl		/s/ Broanno Martoll	

Breanne Martell, WSBA #39632 Brian Rho, WSBA #51209 Attorney for Defendant